

Viruses: Are We Just Looking at Lens Flare?

ir. Emile M. Hobo – 12 September 2022

E-mail: e.m.hobo@hotmail.nl

What Is It That We Are Seeing?

As illustrated in “Debunking the myth of the electron microscope, HIV, and Corona,” written by me, it’s impossible to use electrons to take pictures. I illustrated how I took pictures of what I presumed were viruses, particles demonstrably up to 300 times as small as my blood cells. These were the same kind of pictures I initially found on the Internet.

I woke up one morning to find a tick on my leg. I saved it in a cup to photograph it, which you do at a way smaller magnification. Even at the smallest magnification, using my Canon EOS 500D, it’s bigger than the frame. Taking those pictures, I didn’t feel dark field filters contributed to the sharpness or clarity of the picture, so I decided to write an article.

I thoroughly examined the tick pictures for sharpness and clarity, that turned out to be exactly the same. Looking at the picture closely, what stood out to me was that I got not as many, but the same kind of “particles,” at the exact same size in pixels. This would mean that I’m looking at lens flare. It’s not the slide, because the pixel size stays the same.

The picture in *figure 1* was taken using the 10x objective, the smallest being the 4x objective. In that picture I found the “particle” shown in *figure 2*. This “particle” doesn’t look different and has the exact same size as “those found in my blood” using the 100x objective.




Fig. 1 The tick




Fig. 2 The “particle” (10x objective) and an improved version (hit “enhance”)

This leaves me with the impression that everyone really just illustrates what they want you to see by hand: there are no pictures of viruses, only drawings of what we want them to look like. Judging by the fact that all “electron microscope pictures” also look different in the kind of image they produce, the drawing program is what determines what it will look like.

I think they first thought they were able to take pictures of viruses. I did, because this was what I first found what it looked like on the Internet in Google scholar. Then they also figured out this was incorrect, probably with someone like me demonstrating it, but they decided to hang in there.

They decided to fix it. They edited the pictures to be able to say, “Look! It really is a virus. We can do this!” It’s like this Hollywood idea of going beyond what we are able to do and pulling it off. They make movies to make people suspend their disbelief and the only ones they end up convincing is themselves, until one day they also conclude it’s all horse shit.

On YouTube, with all science being demonstrated quite clearly in most cases, you get to see what it is people do. Science tends to be demonstrated clearly in proper scientific work. Most science that is “groundbreaking” today isn’t. This very strongly suggests that everyone is just making everything up to secure financing.

The fact that *figure 2* looks like what I first found in terms of pictures of viruses supports this. They want the people to see with their own eyes, but they don’t provide the evidence. It’s as bad as locking a random person up for a crime, simply because someone (anyone) needs to pay, without anyone in charge caring whether that person be guilty or not.

The key is that all science needs to be replicable, regardless of whether it is in school, college, university, or court. If you want to demonstrate the truth, walk us through the steps to do so. Make science replicable again.

Literature

Emile M. Hobo (2022) “Debunking the myth of the electron microscope, HIV, and Corona” : ResearchGate.net & emilehobo.nl.